How Does One Write a Program?

You have seen several examples of programming.

Given a task in human terms,
- we produce an algorithm
- that solves the problem
- using steps that each require a few LC-3 instructions (or C statements).

How did we do it?

Systematic Decomposition: What is It?

Systematic decomposition is an approach to programming. The idea is as follows:
- starting with a high-level model of the task, usually in a human language,
- repeatedly break the task into simpler tasks
- until each subtask is easily expressed in a few instructions.

We Will Discuss Three Constructs

We will discuss
- the pieces (the structure of “simpler tasks”)
- and how each maps to LC-3 memory.

But before we start, a couple of comments on programming...
Don’t Underestimate the Value of Having a Model

Pencil and paper are your first tools.
If your algorithm is clear in your head,
◦ when your code has bugs,
◦ you will find it easier to spot the differences
◦ between what you meant to write
◦ and what you wrote.

Draw pictures, draw flow charts, think.
Then sit down to write the code.

Write Comments First

When you do get ready to write your program:

First, write comments that describe tasks at intermediate levels.

Then fill in the code for each comment.

Don’t leave comments as an afterthought.

Break Down Tasks Using One of Three Constructs

What do “simpler tasks” look like?
Typically, they form one of three patterns.
You have seen these patterns before:
◦ they correspond to statements in C,
◦ but the iterative construct is simpler.

Let’s take a look.

First Pattern: the Sequential Construct

A sequential decomposition breaks the task
◦ into two or more subtasks
◦ executed in sequence.
Second Pattern: the Conditional Construct

A **conditional** decomposition executes **one of two subtasks** based on a test condition.

- **task**
  - **test condition**
    - **then subtask**
    - **else subtask**

TRUE | FALSE
---|---

Repeat Refinement to Allow More Than Two Possibilities

What if we want more than two possibilities?

**Break a subtask into subtasks again!**

- **test condition 1**
  - **TRUE**
  - **FALSE**
  - **"1 is true" subtask**
  - **"both false" subtask**

- **test condition 2**
  - **TRUE**
  - **FALSE**
  - **"2 is true" subtask**
  - **"both false" subtask**

Third Pattern: the Iterative Construct

An **iterative** decomposition **repeats a subtask** so long as a test condition is true.

- **task**
  - **test condition**
    - **TRUE**
    - **FALSE**
    - **subtask**

How Can We Map Flow Charts into Memory?

Flow charts are pretty.

But one can’t draw a flow chart in memory.

**How can we turn a flow chart into a sequence of instructions?**

Let’s examine each construct in turn.
Sequential is Easy: No Need for Control Flow

Conditional Construct Mapped to Memory

Iterative Construct Mapped to Memory

Systematic Decomposition is Not Systematic

Is systematic decomposition really “systematic?”

The term "systematic" suggests that

◦ one can apply a set of rules

◦ without making complex decisions.

Generally, such is not the case

◦ when breaking tasks down.

◦ Otherwise, computers could program for us!
Learning to Program Takes Time and Experience

Usually
- you will have many choices,
- many of which will produce algorithms.

Some algorithms
- are better than others
- (even for all reasonable senses of “better”).

Don’t worry too much.
Learning to program well takes time.