

University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign
Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

ECE 120: Introduction to Computing

Computers are Dumb

Humans vs. Computers

The **Church-Turing Hypothesis** tells us:

- anything a human can compute
- can also be computed by a computer
- (and vice-versa).

So...

**What's the difference between
humans and computers?**

The Answer

Humans are smart.
Computers are dumb.

What's this?



Some Problems are Hard to Solve Systematically

Computers have difficulty solving
that kind of problem.

Or, rather, programmers have difficulty

- knowing how their brains
solve such problems,
- so they can't get a computer to
solve such problems quickly.

That's why we can use such problems
to check for human presence.

Beware of Anthropomorphism

I may have said (and may still say) sentences like...

“The LC-3 only understands 2’s complement.”

But the LC-3 is not human.

The LC-3 “understands” nothing.

So what am I trying to say?

LC-3 Includes Operations on 2’s Complement Values

“The LC-3 only understands 2’s complement.”

By the definition of the **LC-3 ISA**, many constants and values are treated as **2’s complement**.

Any **LC-3** microarchitecture needs hardware designed to support **2’s complement**.

For example, notice the numerous sign extension boxes in Patt and Patel’s datapath.

Other Data Types Must Be Handled in Software

“The LC-3 only understands 2’s complement.”

In contrast, there are no instructions (nor hardware) for directly manipulating bits in other representations.

How do we use other data types with an LC-3 processor?

Translate operations on other data types into sequences of instructions.

In other words, write software to do it.

Another Example: Adding Strings

Here’s a **software representation** for a **string of text** (the string is “19”).

The **address** of the first **ASCII** character in memory, **x4012**, is **used to represent the string**.

x4012	x0031	'1'
x4013	x0039	'9'
x4014	x0000	NUL

To “read” the string,

- look at consecutive memory locations
- until we find a **0** (an **ASCII NUL** character),
- which indicates the end of the string.

Can We Add Two Strings?

Here's another string.

What is it? "23"

Say that the **LC-3** executes:

R1 ← x4012

R2 ← x7196

R3 ← R1 + R2

What is R3? xB1A8

What is stored at xB1A8? Bits!

x4012	x0031	'1'
-------	-------	-----

x4013	x0039	'9'
-------	-------	-----

x4014	x0000	NUL
-------	-------	-----

x7196	x0032	'2'
-------	-------	-----

x7197	x0033	'3'
-------	-------	-----

x7198	x0000	NUL
-------	-------	-----

You Understand Why Adding Addresses Doesn't "Work"

Obviously, if we want to add two strings that represent numbers, we need to do more work.

Unfortunately, many people

- who have never seen representations nor how computers work
- make this kind of mistake
- and struggle to understand why the answer is not what they expect.

Lend Me Your Brains for a Minute?

I almost forgot!

I need to ask your help again!

Can you help me sort these numbers?

"41,962"	"41321"	"9874"
biggest	middle	smallest

Are You Sure About Your Answers?

Hmm. Are you sure?

I just ask because, well ...

I asked my computer, too.

And **it gave different answers:**

	"41,962"	"41321"	"9874"
humans	biggest	middle	smallest
computers	smallest	middle	biggest

A Side-by-Side Comparison of the Numbers

Let's compare them side by side.

41,962
41321
9874

What's bigger, "4" or "9?"

Oh, so "9874" is the biggest!

Please be more careful when you help me!

A Side-by-Side Comparison of the Numbers

What's the next largest?

41,962
41321
9874

Compare these two.

"4" is equal to "4."

"1" is equal to "1."

What's bigger, "," or "3?"

Ah, so "41321" is the middle value. Good.

Comma (x2C)
is smaller than
'3' (x33).

So the Computer is Right?

It seems that the computer is right.

At least, for some definition of "right."

This type of answer is what you get if you **sort strings in ASCII order** (instead of alphabetical order).

	"41,962"	"41321"	"9874"
humans	biggest	middle	smallest
computers	smallest	middle	biggest

Remember: Computers are Dumb

Think it's just a silly example?

Take a look at the index of Patt and Patel.

Computers do exactly what they are told.